

MÖBIUS POLYNOMIALS OF FACE POSETS OF CONVEX POLYTOPES

MEENA JAGADEESAN, SUSAN DURST

ABSTRACT. The Möbius polynomial is an invariant of ranked posets, closely related to the Möbius function. In this paper, we study the Möbius polynomial of face posets of convex polytopes. We present formulas for computing the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of a pyramid or a prism over an existing polytope, or of the gluing of two or more polytopes in terms of the Möbius polynomials of the original polytopes. We also present general formulas for calculating Möbius polynomials of face posets of simplicial polytopes and of Eulerian posets in terms of their f -vectors and some additional constraints.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *ranked poset* is a poset P , together with a rank function $|\cdot| : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that whenever $p > q$ and for any $p \geq r \geq q$ we have $p = r$ or $q = r$, we have $|p| = |q| + 1$. Given a finite ranked poset P , we define the **Möbius polynomial** of P to be

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{p \leq q \in P} \mu(p, q) z^{|q| - |p|},$$

where μ is the Möbius function defined on P .

Our interest in the Möbius polynomial stems from previous work on the splitting algebra or universal labeling algebra of a poset, introduced by Gelfand, Retakh, Serconek, and Wilson in their 2005 paper [2]. In their 2007 paper [3], they showed that the Hilbert series of the algebra $A(P)$ associated to a finite ranked poset P could be calculated using the formula:

$$H(A(P), z) = \frac{1 - z}{1 - z\mathcal{M}_P(z)}.$$

Using this fact, they were able to calculate the Hilbert series of the algebra associated to the Boolean lattice of order n , and to the poset of subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space over a finite field.

In 2009, Duffy used the same result to calculate the Hilbert series of the algebra associated to the face poset of an n -gon. She also found that the graded trace generating function for the automorphism of $A(P)$ induced by an automorphism σ of the poset P is given by

$$Tr_\sigma(A(P), z) = \frac{1 - z}{1 - z\mathcal{M}_{P^\sigma}(z)},$$

where P^σ is the poset consisting of fixed points of P under the automorphism σ .

Date: October 22, 2014.

In their 2009 paper [4], Retakh and Wilson generalize their Hilbert series result to posets which have a weaker rank function ρ , which only satisfies the property that if $p < q$, then $\rho(p) < \rho(q)$. In this setting, they define

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{p \leq q \in P} \mu(p, q) z^{\rho(q) - \rho(p)},$$

and they present a generalization of the universal labeling algebra, which they also call $A(P)$, satisfying the same Hilbert series equation. This paper also contains formulas for calculating the Möbius polynomial of a poset under various operations, including adding intermediate elements, adding additional relations between existing elements, and joining two posets by identifying their maximal and/or minimal vertices. In 2014, Durst showed [1] that given two posets P and Q , the Möbius polynomial of their direct product $P \times Q$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{P \times Q}(z) = \mathcal{M}_P(z) \mathcal{M}_Q(z),$$

and used this to calculate the Hilbert series and graded trace generating functions associated to the poset of factors of a natural number n ordered by divisibility.

In this paper we continue the study of Möbius polynomials, focusing our attention on the face posets of convex polytopes. The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present basic definitions. In Section 3, we present a result regarding the sum of the coefficients of the Möbius polynomials. In Section 4, we recall known results about face posets and include a proof that every interval of a face poset is itself a face poset. In Section 5, we proceed to compute the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of a pyramid over a polytope in terms of the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of the original polytope. In Section 6, we compute the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of a prism over a polytope in terms of the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of the original polytope and its bottom polynomial, which we will define in Section 3. In Section 7, we compute the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of polytopes glued together, in terms of the Möbius polynomial of the original polytopes and their top polynomials, which we will define in Section 3. In Section 8, we compute the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of a simplicial polytope in terms of its f -vector. In Section 9, we compute the Möbius polynomial of an Eulerian poset in terms of its f -vector and some additional constraints. This gives us a general formula for computing the Möbius polynomial of the face poset of an arbitrary convex polytope.

2. DEFINITIONS

Definition 1. A ranked poset is a poset P , together with a rank function $|\cdot| : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) If $p > q$, and for any $p \geq r \geq q$ we have $r = p$ or $r = q$, then $|p| = |q| + 1$.
- (ii) If p is minimal in P , then $|p| = -1$.

Definition 2. In a ranked poset P , for each $p \in P$, we call $|p|$ the rank of p . We use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \{p \in P \mid |p| = i\} \\ P_{\geq i} &= \{p \in P \mid |p| \geq i\} \\ P_{\leq i} &= \{p \in P \mid |p| \leq i\} \end{aligned}$$

We define the rank of a poset P to be $\max\{|p| \mid p \in P\}$.

Notice that this is not the standard definition of rank. In the standard definition, minimal elements have rank 0. We have set our minimum rank to -1 so that rank will correspond to dimension in face posets of convex polytopes.

Definition 3. Given $p, q \in P$ we define the closed interval $[p, q] \in P$ by

$$[p, q] = \{s \in P \mid p \leq s \leq q\}.$$

If $p \not\leq q$, then $[p, q]$ is defined to be the empty set. We define $I(P)$ to be the set of all closed intervals of the poset P .

Definition 4. The Möbius function $\mu^P : I(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

- (i) $\mu^P[p, p] = 1$ for $p \in P$
- (ii) $\mu^P[p, q] = -\sum_{p \leq s < q} \mu^P[p, s]$ for $p < q \in P$
- (iii) $\mu^P[p, q] = 0$ for $p \not\leq q \in P$.

Notice that

$$\sum_{p \leq s < q} \mu^P[p, s] = -\sum_{p < s \leq q} \mu^P[s, q],$$

so

$$\mu^P[p, q] = -\sum_{p < s \leq q} \mu^P[s, q].$$

For ease of notation, we will often write μ rather than μ^P .

Definition 5. Given a ranked poset P , we define the polynomial Möbius function $\mu_z^P : I(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}(z)$ by

$$\mu_z^P[p, q] = \mu^P[p, q] z^{|q| - |p|}.$$

For ease of notation, we will often write μ_z rather than μ_z^P . Notice that $\mu_1[p, q] = \mu[p, q]$.

Definition 6. The Möbius polynomial $\mathcal{M}_P(z)$ of a poset P is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{p \leq q \in P} \mu_z[p, q].$$

Definition 7. Given posets P and Q , we define the direct product of P and Q to be

$$P \times Q = \{(p, q) \mid p \in P, q \in Q\}$$

with ordering relation $\leq_{P \times Q}$ defined so that $(p_1, q_1) \leq_{P \times Q} (p_2, q_2)$ if and only if $p_1 \leq_P p_2$ and $q_1 \leq_Q q_2$.

We will use the following result from [1]:

Lemma 8. *Given posets P and Q with direct product $P \times Q$,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{P \times Q}(z) = \mathcal{M}_P(z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_Q(z).$$

3. COEFFICIENTS OF MÖBIUS POLYNOMIALS

Definition 9. Let $-1 \leq r \leq |P|$. We define the r -polynomial $g_r^P(z)$ of the poset P to be

$$\sum_{|p| \leq r \leq |q|} \mu_z^P[p, q].$$

We refer to $g_{|P|}^P(z)$ as the top polynomial and $g_{-1}^P(z)$ as the bottom polynomial.

Lemma 10. *For any $p, q \in P$*

$$\sum_{p \leq s \leq q} \mu[p, s] = \sum_{p \leq s \leq q} \mu[s, y] = 0.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\mu[p, q] = - \sum_{p < s \leq q} \mu[s, q]$$

This implies

$$\sum_{p \leq s \leq q} \mu[s, q] = 0.$$

We also have

$$\mu[p, q] = - \sum_{p \leq s < q} \mu[s, q],$$

which implies

$$\sum_{p \leq s \leq q} \mu[p, s] = 0.$$

□

Lemma 11. *If a poset P has a unique maximum element or unique minimum element, then $\mathcal{M}_P(1) = 1$.*

Proof. First we will prove the result for a poset P with unique maximum element $\hat{1}$. We proceed by induction on $|P|$. If $|P| = -1$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \mu_z[\hat{1}, \hat{1}] = 1,$$

and it follows that $\mathcal{M}_P(z) = 1$.

Now assume that $|P| \geq 0$, and that the result holds for posets with smaller rank. No interval in P can contain more than one element of P_{-1} since there do not exist $p, q \in P_{-1}$ with $p < q$. Hence $g_{-1}^P(z)$ is the sum of the generalized Möbius functions of all intervals in P that contain one of the p in P_{-1} with no interval counted more than once. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}_P(1) = g_{-1}^P(1) + \mathcal{M}_{P_{\geq 0}}(1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-1}^P(1) &= \sum_{|p| \leq -1 \leq |q|} \mu_z[p, q] \\ &= \sum_{p \in P_{-1}} \sum_{p \leq q \leq \hat{1}} \mu_z[p, q]. \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to 0 by Lemma 10.

This gives us

$$\mathcal{M}_P(1) = \mathcal{M}_{P_{\geq 0}}(1).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{M}_{P_{\geq 0}}(1) = 1$. Thus for any P with a unique maximum element, $\mathcal{M}_P(1) = 1$. The proof for P with a unique minimum element is completely analogous. $\square$

Definition 12. For a poset P , we define the poset $\tilde{P}$ to be $P \cup \{\hat{0}\} \cup \{\hat{1}\}$ with ordering relation $\leq_{\tilde{P}}$ given by:

For $p, q \in P$, $p \leq_{\tilde{P}} q$ if $p \leq_P q$.

For $p \in \tilde{P}$, $p \leq_{\tilde{P}} \hat{1}$ and $\hat{0} \leq_{\tilde{P}} p$.

Lemma 13. For any poset P , $\mathcal{M}_P(1) = \mu^{\tilde{P}}[\hat{0}, \hat{1}] + 1$.

Proof. For any $p \in \tilde{P}$, we have $\hat{0} \leq p \leq \hat{1}$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{P}}(1) = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq p \leq \hat{1}} \mu[\hat{0}, p] + \sum_{\hat{0} \leq p \leq \hat{1}} \mu[p, \hat{1}] - \mu[\hat{0}, \hat{1}] + \mathcal{M}_P(1).$$

By Lemma 10,

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq p \leq \hat{1}} \mu[\hat{0}, p] = \sum_{\hat{0} \leq p \leq \hat{1}} \mu[p, \hat{1}] = 0.$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{P}}(1) = \mathcal{M}_P(1) - \mu[\hat{0}, \hat{1}].$$

By Lemma 11,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{P}}(1) = 1.$$

Hence,

$$1 = \mathcal{M}_P(1) - \mu[\hat{0}, \hat{1}].$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_P(1) = 1 + \mu[\hat{0}, \hat{1}].$$

$\square$

4. FACE POSETS

Definition 14. Given a convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ with vertex set V , for each face p , we define p_V to be the set of vertices contained in p . Hence,

$$p_v = \{v \in V \mid v \in p\}.$$

We define the face poset P to be:

$$\{p_v \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

with ordering given by set inclusion.

For ease of notation, we will often write p rather than p_v in a face poset.

Notice that with our definition of the rank function, an r -dimensional face p of a polytope $\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to a rank r element p in the face poset P .

Definition 15. In a d -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$, we define f_r to be the number of r -dimensional faces of $\mathcal{P}$ for $-1 \leq r \leq d$. We define f_{-1} to be 1. We call $(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_d)$ the f -vector of $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 16. In an arbitrary poset P , we define f_r to be the number of elements of rank r , $|P_r|$. We call $(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_d)$ the f -vector of P .

For a convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ with face poset P , this means that f_r represents the number of r -dimensional faces of $\mathcal{P}$ and f_r represents the number of elements of rank r in its face poset P . Hence, the f -vector of $\mathcal{P}$ is equal to the f -vector of P .

Definition 17. Given a poset P , we define the dual poset P^* to be the set P with ordering relation as follows: $p \leq_{P^*} q$ if and only if $q \leq_P p$.

Note that the poset P^{**} is isomorphic to the poset P .

By part (iv) of Theorem 2.7 in [6], we know that the dual poset P^* of a face poset P of a convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ is itself a face poset of a convex polytope. We call this polytope the dual polytope of $\mathcal{P}$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}^*$.

Theorem 18. *Any interval of a face poset P of a convex polytope is itself a face poset of some convex polytope.*

This result is part (ii) of Theorem 2.7 in [6]. Ziegler provides a geometric proof of this result. Here we present a combinatorial proof.

Proof. Consider the interval $[p, q]$. Note that the interval $[\hat{0}^P, q]$ is the face poset of q . Call this subposet Q . Consider the dual poset Q^* . This corresponds to the face poset of the dual polytope q^* . Note that $\hat{0}^{Q^*} = q$. Since $p \leq q$ in Q , $\hat{0}^{Q^*} = q \leq p$ in Q^* . The interval $[\hat{0}^{Q^*}, p]$ is the face poset of the convex polytope into which p gets transformed in the dual polytope q^* . Call this polytope s . Now, consider $Q^{**} = Q$. The interval $[p, q] \in Q$ is the face poset of the dual polytope s^* . $\square$

Theorem 19. *In any face poset P , $\mu[p, q] = (-1)^{|q|-|p|}$*

Proof. By the above theorem, it suffices to prove that $\mu[\hat{0}^P, \hat{1}^P] = (-1)^{d+1}$ for all convex polytopes P of dimension d for $d \geq 0$. We proceed by induction on $|q| - |p|$. For our base cases, we know that $\mu[p, p] = 1$. Consider a convex polytope with dimension $d = 0$. Note that the face poset of this polytope is a totally ordered set of size 2. Hence $\mu[p, q] = -1$.

Now, assume that the statement holds for $d \leq i$. We will show that it holds for $d = i + 1$. Note that

$$\mu[\hat{0}^P, \hat{1}^P] = - \sum_{p \in P} \mu[\hat{0}^P, p].$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu[\hat{0}^P, \hat{1}^P] &= - \sum_{r=-1}^k f_i * (-1)^{r+1} \\ &= -[1 - \sum_{r=0}^i f_r * (-1)^r]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Euler characteristic of convex polytopes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu[\hat{0}^P, \hat{1}^P] &= -[1 - ((-1)^{i+2} + 1)] \\ &= -[-(-1)^{i+2}] \\ &= (-1)^{i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_z[p, q] = (-z)^{|q|-|p|}$. □

Lemma 20. *In a poset P with rank d ,*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{|P|}^P(z) &= \sum_{r=-1}^d f_r \cdot (-z)^{d-r} \\ g_{-1}^P(z) &= \sum_{r=-1}^d f_r \cdot (-z)^{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$g_{|P|}^P(z) = \sum_{p \leq \hat{1} \leq q} \mu_z[p, q].$$

Since $p \leq \hat{1}$ for all $p \in P$ and the only $q \in P$ such that $\hat{1} \leq q$ is $q = \hat{1}$,

$$g_{|P|}^P(z) = \sum_{p \in P} \mu_z[p, \hat{1}]$$

and

$$\mu_z[p, \hat{1}] = (-z)^{|\hat{1}|-|p|} = (-1)^{d-|p|}.$$

Hence,

$$g_{|P|}^P(z) = \sum_{|p|=r} (-z)^{d-r}.$$

Since there are f_r elements in P of rank r ,

$$g_{|P|}^P(z) = \sum_{r=-1}^d f_r \cdot (-z)^{d-r}.$$

The proof is analogous for g_{-1}^P . $\square$


5. PYRAMIDS

Given a d -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$, we can obtain the $(d+1)$ -dimensional pyramid over $\mathcal{P}$, denoted $\mathcal{Py}(\mathcal{P})$, as follows: Locate $\mathcal{P}$ on the plane $x = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. We construct an additional vertex α in the plane $x = 1$ and take the union of lines connecting $\mathcal{P}$ with α .

For each r -dimensional face p in the polytope $\mathcal{P}$, there exists a corresponding $(r+1)$ -dimensional face p' in $\mathcal{Py}(\mathcal{P})$ connecting the vertex α with p . All faces in $\mathcal{Py}(\mathcal{P})$ are of the form p or p' .

Figure 1 shows the pyramid construction applied to a square.


FIGURE 1.



Definition 21. Given a face poset P , for each element $p \in P$, define p' so that $p' = p \cup \alpha$. Let $\lambda_P = \{p' | p \in P\}$. We call λ_P the pyramid addition poset of λ_P . We define the poset $\mathcal{Py}(P)$ to be $P \cup \lambda_P$ where the relation is set inclusion. We call $\mathcal{Py}(P)$ the pyramid of P .

Note that if P is the face poset of $\mathcal{P}$, then, by construction $\mathcal{Py}(P)$ is the face poset of $\mathcal{Py}(\mathcal{P})$. Figure 2 shows the face poset of a square and its corresponding pyramid addition poset—it is clear that the two posets are isomorphic. Below, we will prove that this is always the case.

FIGURE 2.



Lemma 22. Let P be a face poset with pyramid addition poset λ_P . Then

$$\lambda_P \cong P.$$

Proof. Define a function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &: P \rightarrow \lambda_P \\ p &\mapsto p' \end{aligned}$$


We wish to show that $p \leq_P q$ if and only if $p' \leq_{\lambda_P} q'$. We know $p \leq_P q$ if and only if $p \subseteq q$. Furthermore, $p \subseteq q$ if and only if $p \cup \{\alpha\} \subseteq q \cup \{\alpha\}$. Since $p' = p \cup \{\alpha\}$ and $q' = q \cup \{\alpha\}$, we know that $q' = q \cup \{\alpha\}$ if and only if $p' \subseteq q'$. By definition, $p' \subseteq q'$ if and only if $p' \leq_{\lambda_P} q'$.

Hence, ϕ defines an isomorphism of posets and $\lambda_P \cong P$. $\square$

Definition 23. Let $T_2 = \{t_1, t_2\}$ be a totally ordered poset on two elements such that $t_1 \leq_{T_2} t_2$.

Figure 3 shows the face poset of a pyramid with a square base. This poset is the direct product of the face poset of the square with the poset T_2 . The diagram is broken down to emphasize this: the grey lines represent the original face poset, the dashed lines show the isomorphic pyramid addition poset, and the solid black lines represent the isomorphic copies of T_2 connecting them. The face poset of a pyramid over a polytope will always have this direct product structure, as we will prove below.

FIGURE 3.



Proposition 24. Let P be a face poset with pyramid $Py(P)$. Then,

$$Py(P) \cong P \times T_2.$$

Proof. Define a function:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &: P \times T_2 \rightarrow Py(P) \\ (p, t_1) &\mapsto p \\ (p, t_2) &\mapsto p'. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to show that $(p, s) \leq (q, t)$ if and only if $\psi((p, s)) \leq \psi((q, t))$.

For $s = t = t_1$, we need to show that $(p, t_1) \leq (q, t_1)$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_1)) \leq \psi((q, t_1))$. We know that $(p, t_1) \leq_{P \times T_2} (q, t_1)$ if and only if $p \leq_P q$. For $p, q \in P$, we have $p \leq_P q$ if and only if $p \leq_{Py(P)} q$. Since $\psi((p, t_1)) = p$ and $\psi((q, t_1)) = q$, we have $p \leq_{Py(P)} q$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_1)) \leq_{Py(P)} \psi((q, t_1))$.

For $s = t = t_2$, we need to show that $(p, t_2) \leq (q, t_2)$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_2)) \leq \psi((q, t_2))$. We know that $(p, t_2) \leq_{P \times T_2} (q, t_2)$ if and only if $p \leq_P q$. By Lemma 22,

we have $p \leq_P q$ if and only if $p' \leq_{\lambda_P} q'$. We know that $p' \leq_{\lambda_P} q'$ if and only if $p' \leq_{Py(P)} q'$. Since $\psi((p, t_2)) = p'$ and $\psi((q, t_2)) = q'$, we know that $p' \leq_{Py(P)} q'$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_2)) \leq_{Py(P)} \psi((q, t_2))$.

For $s = t_1, t = t_2$, we need to show that $(p, t_1) \leq (q, t_2)$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_1)) \leq \psi((q, t_2))$. We know that $(p, t_1) \leq_{P \times T_2} (q, t_2)$ if and only if $p \subseteq q$. Furthermore, we know that $p \subseteq q$ if and only if $p \subseteq q \cup \{\alpha\}$. Since $q' = q \cup \{\alpha\}$, we know that $p \subseteq q \cup \{\alpha\}$ if and only if $p \subseteq q'$. Since $p = \psi((p, t_1))$ and $\psi((q, t_2)) = q'$, we know that $p \subseteq q'$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_1)) \leq \psi((q, t_2))$.

For $s = t_2, t = t_1$, we need to show that $(p, t_2) \leq (q, t_1)$ if and only if $\psi((p, t_2)) \leq \psi((q, t_1))$. Since $t_2 > t_1$ there do not exist $p, q \in P$ such that $(p, t_2) \leq_{P \times T_2} (q, t_1)$. We will now show there do not exist $p, q \in P$ such that $\psi((p, t_2)) \leq \psi((q, t_1))$. We know that $\psi((p, t_2)) \leq \psi((q, t_1))$ if and only if $p' \leq q$. This holds if and only if $p \cup \{\alpha\} \subseteq q$. Since $q \cap \{\alpha\} = \emptyset$ for all $q \in P$, there do not exist $p, q \in P$ such that $p \cup \{\alpha\} \subseteq q$.

Hence, ψ defines an isomorphism of posets and we have our result. $\square$

Theorem 25. *Given a d -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ with face poset P ,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{Py(P)}(z) = (2 - z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_P(z).$$

Proof. By Proposition 24 and Lemma 8, we know that

$$\mathcal{M}_{Py(P)}(z) = \mathcal{M}_P(z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{T_2}(z) = (2 - z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_P(z).$$

$\square$

Corollary 26. *If S^d is a d -dimensional simplex with face poset S^d , then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{S^d}(z) = (2 - z)^{d+1}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on d . For our base case, consider a simplex with dimension $d = 0$. Notice that $S^0 \cong T_2$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{S^0}(z) = 2 - z$.

Now, we assume that $d > 0$ and that the result holds for simplices of smaller dimension. Notice that S^d is the d dimensional pyramid over S^{d-1} . This means that

$$\mathcal{M}_{S^{d+1}}(z) = (2 - z) \cdot (2 - z)^{d+1} = (2 - z)^{d+2}.$$


Thus, the result holds for any simplex S^d with face poset S^d for any $d \geq 0$. $\square$

6. PRISMS

Given a d -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$, we can obtain the $(d + 1)$ -dimensional pyramid over $\mathcal{P}$, denoted $Pr(\mathcal{P})$, as follows: Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ be isomorphic copies of $\mathcal{P}$. Locate $\mathcal{P}_1$ on the plane $x = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ on the plane $x = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. We take the union of lines corresponding points on $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$. Let V be the vertex set of $\mathcal{P}$, let V_1 be the vertex set of $\mathcal{P}_1$, and let V_2 be the vertex set of $\mathcal{P}_2$.

For every r -dimensional face p in the polytope $\mathcal{P}$, there exists a corresponding r -dimensional face p_1 in the polytope $\mathcal{P}_1$, r -dimensional face p_2 in the polytope $\mathcal{P}_2$, and $(r + 1)$ -dimensional face p' in $Pr(\mathcal{P})$ connecting p_1 and p_2 . All faces in $Pr(\mathcal{P})$ are of the form p' , p_1 or p_2 . Figure 4 shows the prism construction applied to a square.

FIGURE 4.



Definition 27. Given a face poset P of $\mathcal{T}$, let P_1 and P_2 be isomorphic copies of P , such that P_1 is the face poset of $\mathcal{T}_1$ and P_2 is the face poset of $\mathcal{T}_2$. Just as elements of P are subsets of the vertex set V of $\mathcal{T}$, elements of P_1 are subsets of the vertex set V_1 of $\mathcal{T}_1$ and elements of P_2 are subsets of the vertex set V_2 of $\mathcal{T}_2$. For $p \in P$ corresponding to $p_1 \in P_1$ and $p_2 \in P_2$, define p' so that $p' = p_1 \cup p_2$. Let $\beta_P = \{p' | p \in P\}$. We call β_P the prism addition poset of P . We define the prism of P to be $Pr(P) = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \beta_P$ with ordering relation given by set inclusion.

Note that if P is the face poset of $\mathcal{T}$, then by construction $Pr(P)$ is the face poset of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T})$.

Lemma 28. Let P be a face poset with prism addition poset β_P . Then

$$(\beta_P)_{\geq 0} \cong P_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. We define a function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : P' &\rightarrow (\beta_P)_{\geq 0} \\ p &\mapsto p'. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to show that ϕ is an isomorphism of posets, so we need to show that $p \leq_{P_{\geq 0}} q$ if and only if $p' \leq_{(\beta_P)_{\geq 0}} q'$.

We know that $p \leq_{P_{\geq 0}} q$ if and only if $p \subseteq q$. This is equivalent to $p_1 \subseteq q_1$ and $p_2 \subseteq q_2$, or $p_1 \cup p_2 \subseteq q_1 \cup q_2$. Since $p' = p_1 \cup p_2$ and $q' = q_1 \cup q_2$, we know that $p_1 \cup p_2 \subseteq q_1 \cup q_2$ if and only if $p' \subseteq q'$. Furthermore, $p' \subseteq q'$ if and only if $p' \leq_{(\beta_P)_{\geq 0}} q'$. This gives us our result


□

Definition 29. Let U be to a poset such that

$$U = \{u, v, w\}$$

with ordering relation defined such that $v, w \leq_U u$. Figure 5 shows the poset U .

FIGURE 5.



Proposition 30. *Let P be a face poset with prism $Pr(P)$. Then,*

$$Pr(P)_{\geq 0} \cong P_{\geq 0} \times U.$$

Proof. Define a bijection $\psi : P_{\geq 0} \times U \rightarrow Pr(P)$ by

$$\psi : \begin{cases} (p, u) & \mapsto p' \\ (p, v) & \mapsto p_1 \\ (p, w) & \mapsto p_2 \end{cases}.$$

We wish to show that ψ is a bijection. To do so, we need to show that $(p, s) \leq (q, t)$ if and only if $\psi((p, s)) \leq \psi((q, t))$.

For $s = t = u$, we need to show that $(p, u) \leq (q, u)$ if and only if $\psi((p, u)) \leq \psi((q, u))$. We know that $(p, u) \leq (q, u)$ if and only if $p \leq_{P_{\geq 0}} q$. By Lemma 28, we have $p \leq_{P_{\geq 0}} q$ if and only if $p' \leq_{\beta_P} q'$. For $p', q' \in (\beta_P)_{\geq 0}$, we have $p' \leq_{(\beta_P)_{\geq 0}} q'$ if and only if $p' \leq_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}} q'$. Since $\psi((p, u)) = p'$ and $\psi((q, u)) = q'$, we know that $p' \leq_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}} q'$ if and only if $\psi((p, u)) \leq_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}} \psi((q, u))$.

For $s = t = v$, we need to show that $(p, v) \leq (q, v)$ if and only if $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, v))$. We know that $(p, v) \leq_{P_{\geq 0} \times U} (q, v)$ if and only if $p \leq_{P_{\geq 0}} q$. Since $P_{\geq 0}$ is isomorphic to $(P_1)_{\geq 0}$, we know $p \leq_{P_{\geq 0}} q$ if and only if $p_1 \leq_{(P_1)_{\geq 0}} q_1$. For $p_1, q_1 \in (P_1)_{\geq 0}$, we have $p_1 \leq_{(P_1)_{\geq 0}} q_1$ if and only if $p_1 \leq_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}} q_1$. Since $\psi((p, v)) = p_1$ and $\psi((q, v)) = q_1$, we know that $p_1 \leq_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}} q_1$ if and only if $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, v))$.

A similar argument shows that $(p, s) \leq (q, t)$ if and only if $\psi((p, s)) \leq \psi((q, t))$ in the case where $s = t = w$.

For $s = u, t = v$, we want to show $(p, u) \leq (q, v)$ if and only if $\psi((p, u)) \leq \psi((q, v))$. Since $u > v$, there do not exist p, q in $P_{\geq 0}$ such that $(p, u) \leq (q, v)$. We will show there do not exist p, q in $P_{\geq 0}$ such that $\psi((p, u)) \leq \psi((q, v))$. We know that $\psi((p, u)) \leq \psi((q, v))$ if and only if $p' \subseteq q_1$. Since $p' = p_1 \cup p_2$, there do not exist $p, q \in P_{\geq 0}$ such that $p' \subseteq q_1$.

A similar argument shows that $(p, s) \leq (q, t)$ if and only if $\psi((p, s)) \leq \psi((q, t))$ in the case where $s = u, t = w$.

For $s = v, t = u$, we need to show that $(p, v) \leq (q, u)$ if and only if $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, u))$. We know that $(p, v) \leq (q, u)$ if and only if $p \subseteq q$. Since $p_1 \cap q_2 = \emptyset$ for all $p, q \in P_{\geq 0}$, we know that $p \subseteq q$ if and only if $p_1 \subseteq q_1 \cup q_2$. Since $q' = q_1 \cup q_2$, we have $p_1 \subseteq q_1 \cup q_2$ if and only if $p_1 \subseteq q'$. Since $\psi((p, v)) = p_1$ and $\psi((q, u)) = q'$, we know that $p_1 \subseteq q'$ if and only if $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, u))$.

A similar argument shows that $(p, s) \leq (q, t)$ if and only if $\psi((p, s)) \leq \psi((q, t))$ in the case where $s = w, t = u$.

For $s = v, t = w$, we need to show $(p, v) \leq (q, w)$ if and only if $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, w))$. Since v is not below w in the poset U , there does not exist p, q in $P_{\geq 0}$ such that $(p, v) \leq (q, w)$. We want to show there does not exist p, q in $P_{\geq 0}$ such that $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, w))$. We know that $\psi((p, v)) \leq \psi((q, w))$ if and only if $p_1 \subseteq q_2$. However, for all $\{p, q \in P_{\geq 0} \mid p_1 \subseteq q_2\} = \emptyset$. Hence, there do not exist $p, q \in P_{\geq 0}$ such that $p_1 \subseteq q_2$.

A similar argument shows that $(p, s) \leq (q, t)$ if and only if $\psi((p, s)) \leq \psi((q, t))$ in the case where $s = w, t = v$.

Hence, ψ defines an isomorphism of posets and so $Pr(P)_{\geq 0} \cong P_{\geq 0} \times U$.

□

Theorem 31.

$$\mathcal{M}_{Pr(P)}(z) = (3 - 2z) \cdot (\mathcal{M}_P(z) - g_{-1}^P(z)) + g_{-1}^{Pr(P)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 30 and Lemma 8, we know

$$\mathcal{M}_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_{P_{\geq 0}}(z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_U(z) = (3 - 2z) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{P_{\geq 0}}(z).$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{P_{\geq 0}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_P(z) - g_{-1}^P(z)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{Pr(P)}(z) = \mathcal{M}_{Pr(P)_{\geq 0}}(z) + g_{-1}^{Pr(P)}(z)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}_{Pr(P)}(z) = (3 - 2z) \cdot (\mathcal{M}_P(z) - g_{-1}^P(z)) + g_{-1}^{Pr(P)}(z).$$

□

We now use this theorem to give a proof of the following result, originally proved in 2012 by Colleen Duffy, Wai Shan Chan, and Cary Schneider at the University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire:

Corollary 32. *If C^d is a d -dimensional hypercube with face poset C^d , then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{C^d}(z) = (-2z + 3)^d - z(2 - z)^d + 1.$$

We will use the following lemma in our proof:

Lemma 33. *If C^d is a d -dimensional hypercube with face poset C^d , then*

$$g_{-1}^{C^d}(z) = 1 - z(2 - z)^d.$$

Proof. By Lemma 20, we know that

$$g_{-1}^{C^d}(z) = \sum_{r=-1}^d f_r \cdot (-z)^{r+1}.$$

Notice that $f_{-1} = 1$. We need to calculate f_r for $r \geq 0$ in terms of d . Notice that the convex hull of the set of points $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ corresponds to a d -dimensional hypercube. We can count the number of r -dimensional faces f_r as follows:

There are $\binom{d}{r}$ ways to choose the r dimensions of the hyperplane. Consider the set of vertices of the hypercube contained in the hyperplane. There are 2^{d-r} ways to choose the coordinates of the remaining $d - r$ dimensions that must remain fixed over all points in the hyperplane. Notice that there is only way to fill in the

remaining r coordinates which must range over all possible combinations of ± 1 . Thus, $f_r = 2^{d-n} \binom{d}{r}$. Hence, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-1}^{C^d}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{r=0}^d 2^{d-r} \binom{d}{r} (-z)^{r+1} \\ &= 1 - z \cdot \sum_{r=0}^d 2^{d-r} \binom{d}{r} (-z)^r \\ &= 1 - z(2 - z)^d. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Corollary 32. We proceed by induction on d . For $d = 0$, notice that $C^0 \cong T_2$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{C^0} = 2 - z$.

Now assume that $d > 0$ and that the result holds for hypercubes of smaller dimension. Notice that a hypercube of dimension d is the prism over a hypercube of dimension $d - 1$. Hence, we know that

$$\mathcal{M}_{C^d}(z) = (3 - 2z) \cdot \left(\mathcal{M}_{C^{d-1}}(z) - g_{-1}^{C^{d-1}}(z) \right) + g_{-1}^{C^d}.$$

By Lemma 33 and the induction hypothesis, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{C^d}(z) &= (3 - 2z) \cdot \left((-2z + 3)^{d-1} - z(2 - z)^{d-1} + 1 - (1 - z(2 - z)^{d-1}) \right) \\ &\quad + 1 - z(2 - z)^d \\ &= (-2z + 3)^d - z(2 - z)^d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result holds for any hypercube C^d with face poset C^d for any $d \geq 0$. □

7. GLUING TOGETHER CONVEX POLYTOPES

Given d -dimensional convex polytopes $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$, and $(d-1)$ -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{R}$, such that $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ contain isomorphic copies $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{Q}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, respectively, we can obtain the d -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{GL}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ as follows:

Glue $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ along their isomorphic copies of $\mathcal{R}$, so that $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{Q}$ line up. Then delete the faces $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{Q}$ which are now in the interior of the convex polytope.

This operation does not allow for a face $f \in \mathcal{P}$ and a face $g \in \mathcal{Q}$ to be at angles such that, after the gluing, f and g become one face. For example, the following construction is not permitted:

Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be cubes of side length 1. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a square of side length 1. Then the gluing process yields a rectangular prism. However, a square face in $\mathcal{P}$ and a square face in $\mathcal{Q}$ formed a single rectangular face in the gluing. This is not permitted.

We use the following definitions in this section:

Definition 34. For a poset P , we define the poset $\hat{P}$ to be $P \cup \{\hat{1}^{\hat{P}}\}$ with ordering relation given by

- (i) For $p, q \in P$, $p \leq_{\hat{P}} q$ if $p \leq_P q$.
- (ii) For $p \in P$, $p \leq_{\hat{P}} \hat{1}^{\hat{P}}$.

Definition 35. For a poset P with a unique maximal element $\hat{1}^P$, we define the poset $\dot{P}$ to be $\hat{P} \setminus \{\hat{1}^P\}$ with ordering relation given by

- (i) For $p, q \in P \setminus \{\hat{1}^P\}$, $p \leq_{\dot{P}} q$ if $p \leq_P q$.
- (ii) For $p \in P$, $p \leq_{\dot{P}} \hat{1}^{\dot{P}}$.

Definition 36. Given face posets P , Q of rank d , and R of rank $d - 1$, such that P and Q contain isomorphic copies R_P and R_Q of R , with isomorphism maps $\phi^P : R \rightarrow R_P$ and $\phi^Q : R \rightarrow R_Q$ define the gluing poset of P , Q along R to be

$$Gl(P, Q, R) = (P \setminus \hat{R}_P) \cup (Q \setminus \hat{R}_Q) \cup \dot{R},$$

where $\hat{R}_P = R_P \cup \{\hat{1}^P\}$ and where $\hat{R}_Q = R_Q \cup \{\hat{1}^Q\}$.

For ease of notation, we will refer to $Gl(P, Q, R)$ as Gl when there is no risk of ambiguity.

We define the ordering relation $\leq_{Gl}$ as follows:

- (i) For $p, q \in P \setminus \hat{R}_P$, $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $p \leq_P q$.
- (ii) If $p, q \in Q \setminus \hat{R}_Q$, $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $p \leq_Q q$.
- (iii) If $p, q \in \dot{R}$ and $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $p \leq_{\dot{R}} q$.
- (iv) If $p \in P \setminus \hat{R}_P$ and $q \in \dot{R}$, $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $p \leq_P \phi^P(q)$.
- (v) If $p \in \dot{R}$ and $q \in P \setminus \hat{R}_P$, $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $\phi^P(p) \leq_P q$.
- (vi) If $p \in Q \setminus \hat{R}_Q$ and $q \in \dot{R}$, $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $p \leq_Q \phi^Q(q)$.
- (vii) If $p \in \dot{R}$ and $q \in Q \setminus \hat{R}_Q$, $p \leq_{Gl} q$ if $\phi^Q(p) \leq_Q q$.

Notice that $\hat{\dot{R}} = \hat{\hat{R}} = \hat{1}^{Gl}$, and that by construction, $Gl(P, Q, R)$ is the face poset of the convex polytope $\mathcal{GL}(\mathcal{P}, Q, \mathcal{R})$.

Theorem 37.

$$\mathcal{M}_{Gl}(z) = \mathcal{M}_P(z) + \mathcal{M}_Q(z) - \mathcal{M}_R(z) - 1 + z - (1 - z) \cdot g_{|R|}^R(z).$$

We will prove this using the following lemmas:

Lemma 38.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_R(z) + 1 - z.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_R(z) + \mu_z^{\hat{R}}[\hat{1}^R, \hat{1}^{\hat{R}}] + \sum_{p \in R, p \neq \hat{1}^R} \mu_z^{\hat{R}}[p, \hat{1}].$$

We have

$$\mu[p, \hat{1}] = - \sum_{p \leq q, q \in R} \mu[p, q] = \mu p \leq x \leq \hat{1}^R[p, x] = 0.$$

This means that

$$\mu_z^{\hat{R}}[p, \hat{1}] = 0.$$

Note that

$$\mu_z^{\hat{R}}[\hat{1}^R, \hat{1}] = 1 - z.$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_R(z) + 1 - z.$$

□

Lemma 39.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\dot{R}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - (1 - z) \cdot g_{[R]}^R(z)$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\dot{R}}(z) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - \sum_{p \in R} \mu_z^R[p, \hat{1}^R] + E$$

where E is the effect of deleting $\hat{1}^R$ on $\mu_z^{Gl(P,Q,R)}[p, \hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}]$ for all $p \in R$.

We will begin by proving

$$E = \sum_{p \in R} z^{|\hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, \hat{1}^R]$$

We know that in $\dot{R}$, $\mu_g[p, \hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}] = - \sum_{p \leq q < \hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}} z^{|\hat{1}| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, q]$. Take $q \in [p, \hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}]$ in the poset $\hat{R}$ such that $q \neq \hat{1}^R$. We know that $q < \hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}$ in $\dot{R}$, so each term $z^{|\hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, q]$ is present in the sum, except for $z^{|\hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, \hat{1}^R]$. Hence, $z^{|\hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, \hat{1}^R]$ must be added back for all $p \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{R}}(z) &= \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - \sum_{p \in R} \mu_z^R[p, \hat{1}^R] + \sum_{p \in R} z^{|\hat{1}^{Gl(P,Q,R)}| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, \hat{1}^R] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - \sum_{p \in R} \mu_z^R[p, \hat{1}^R] + z \cdot \sum_{p \in R} z^{|\hat{1}^R| - |p|} \cdot \mu[p, \hat{1}^R] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - (1 - z) \cdot \sum_{p \in R} \mu_z^R[p, \hat{1}^R] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - (1 - z) \cdot g_{[R]}^R(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 37. By the definition of $Gl(P, Q, R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{Gl}(z) &= \mathcal{M}_P(z) - \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}_P}(z) + \mathcal{M}_Q(z) - \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}_Q}(z) + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{R}}(z) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_P(z) + \mathcal{M}_Q(z) - 2 \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{R}}(z). \end{aligned}$$

If we apply Lemma 38 and Lemma 39, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{Gl}(z) &= \mathcal{M}_P(z) + \mathcal{M}_Q(z) - 2 \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) + \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - (1-z) \cdot g_{|\hat{R}|}^R(z) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_P(z) + \mathcal{M}_Q(z) - \mathcal{M}_{\hat{R}}(z) - (1-z) \cdot g_{|\hat{R}|}^R(z) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_P(z) + \mathcal{M}_Q(z) - \mathcal{M}_R(z) - 1 + z - (1-z) \cdot g_{|R|}^R(z).\end{aligned}$$

□

8. SIMPLICIAL POLYTOPES

Definition 40. We define a boolean lattice of n elements, denoted $2^{[n]}$ to be the set of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ordered by set inclusion.

Definition 41. We define a simplicial poset P to be any ranked poset such that every interval $I \in I(P)$ is a boolean lattice.

Theorem 42. In a simplicial poset P with rank d , a unique minimum element $\hat{0}$, and f -vector $(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_d)$

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{-1 \leq r \leq d} (1-z)^{r+1} f_r.$$

We will use the following lemma in our proof.

Lemma 43. In a boolean lattice, $2^{[n]}$ with rank $n-1$,

$$g_{n-1}^{2^{[n]}}(z) = (1-z)^n$$

Proof. Since $S^{n-1} \cong 2^{[n]}$, $2^{[n]}$ is isomorphic to a face poset. Hence, by Lemma 20, if $(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_{n-1})$ is the f -vector of $2^{[n]}$, then

$$g_{n-1}^{2^{[n]}}(z) = \sum_{r=-1}^{n-1} f_r (-z)^{n-1-r}.$$

Notice that $f_r = \binom{n}{r+1}$. It follows that

$$g_{n-1}^{2^{[n]}}(z) = \sum_{r=-1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r+1} (-z)^{n-(r+1)}.$$

Applying the binomial theorem, we obtain

$$g_{n-1}^{2^{[n]}}(z) = (1-z)^n$$

□

Proof of Theorem 42. Notice that

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{q \leq p} \mu_z[q, p].$$

Take $p \in P$ with rank r . Notice that

$$[\hat{0}, p] = \{q \in P_{\geq d-1} \mid q \leq p\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \leq p} \mu_z[q, p] &= \sum_{q \in [\hat{0}, p]} \mu_z[q, p] \\ &= g_{[p]}^{[\hat{0}, p]}(z) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of a simplicial poset, we know $[\hat{0}, p] \cong 2^{[r+1]}$. Hence, by Lemma 43, we have

$$\sum_{q \leq p} \mu_z[q, p] = (1 - z)^{r+1}.$$

It follows that,

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{p \in P} (1 - z)^{r+1}.$$

Grouping the elements by rank, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{-1 \leq r \leq d} (1 - z)^{r+1} f_r.$$

□

Definition 44. We define a simplicial polytope to be a d -dimensional convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ such that any $(d - 1)$ -dimensional face is a simplex.

Definition 45. We define a near-simplicial poset P to be any ranked poset such that $P_{\leq |P|-1}$ is a disjoint union of simplicial posets with unique minimum elements.

Notice that the face poset P of a simplicial polytope $\mathcal{P}$ is near-simplicial.

Theorem 46. In a near-simplicial poset P with rank d and f -vector $(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_d)$

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = g_d^P(z) + \sum_{-1 \leq r \leq d-1} (1 - z)^{r+1} f_r.$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathcal{M}_P(z) = g_d^P(z) + \mathcal{M}_{P_{\leq d-1}}(z)$. First, we consider $\mathcal{M}_{P_{\leq d-1}}(z)$. Since $P_{\leq d-1}$ is a disjoint union of simplicial posets with unique minimum elements, we can obtain $\mathcal{M}_{P_{\leq d-1}}$ by taking the sum of the Möbius polynomials of each of these simplicial posets. By Theorem 42,

$$\mathcal{M}_{P_{\leq d-1}}(z) = \sum_{-1 \leq r \leq d-1} (1 - z)^{r+1} f_r.$$

It follows that,

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = g_d^P(z) + \sum_{-1 \leq r \leq d-1} (1 - z)^{r+1} f_r.$$

□

In a face poset P with rank d , by Lemma 20, we know that $g_d^P(z) = \sum_{r=-1}^d f_r(-z)^{d-r}$. Hence, we can simplify Theorem 42 for the face posets of simplicial polytopes.

Corollary 47. *Given a d -dimensional simplicial polytope $\mathcal{P}$ with face poset P ,*

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{r=-1}^d f_r(-z)^{d-r} + \sum_{-1 \leq r \leq d-1} (1-z)^{r+1} f_r.$$

9. EULERIAN POSETS

Definition 48. An Eulerian poset is a ranked poset P with unique maximum and minimum elements such that, for all $p, q \in P$ with $p \leq q$,

$$\mu[p, q] = (-1)^{|q|-|p|}.$$

Note that any interval of an Eulerian poset is itself an Eulerian poset. By Lemma 19, we know all face posets of convex polytopes are Eulerian posets. In this section, we will discuss the Möbius polynomials of Eulerian posets. Fix an Eulerian poset P of rank d . We define $N_{i,j}$ to be the number of intervals $[p, q]$ such that p is an element of rank i and q is an element of rank j for $-1 \leq i \leq j$. We can calculate the Möbius polynomial of P in terms of the $N_{i,j}$ values:

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq n+1} \sum_{j-i=l} N_{i,j} (-z)^l$$

Hence, to calculate the Möbius polynomial of an Eulerian poset P , it suffices to calculate $N_{i,j}$ for $-1 \leq i \leq j \leq |P|$. We know that $N_{-1,r}$, $N_{r,|P|}$, and $N_{r,r}$ are all equal to f_r . It remains to calculate $N_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i < j < d$. We will use the following lemmas:

Lemma 49. *For an Eulerian poset P with rank d and f -vector*

$(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_d)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f_i \cdot (-1)^i = (-1)^{d+1} + 1$$

Proof. By Lemma 10

$$\sum_{\hat{0} \leq p \leq \hat{1}} \mu[\hat{0}, p] = 0.$$

Separating the elements by rank, we get:

$$\sum_{i=-1}^d \sum_{p \in P_i} \mu[\hat{0}, p] = 0.$$

Since $\mu[\hat{0}, p]$ is $(-1)^{|p|+1}$, we get:

$$\sum_{i=-1}^d (-1)^{i+1} f_i = 0.$$

Moving the $i = -1$ and $i = d$ terms to the right side, we get:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} f_i = (-1)[(-1)^{d+1} + 1].$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i f_i = (-1)^{d+1} + 1.$$

□

Lemma 50. *For an Eulerian poset P with rank d , and f -vector $(f_{-1}, f_0 \dots f_d)$, we have the following system of $2d - 2$ equations:*

(i) For $1 \leq j \leq d - 1$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i N_{i,j} = ((-1)^{j+1} + 1) f_j.$$

(ii) For $0 \leq j \leq d - 2$,

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j-i-1} N_{i,j} = ((-1)^{d-i} + 1) f_i$$

Proof. We begin by proving (i). For an element $p \in P$, let B_i^p be the number of elements of rank i below p . That is,

$$B_{i,p} = |P_i \cap \{q \in P \mid q \leq p\}|.$$

Notice that $\sum_{p \in P_j} B_{i,p} = N_{i,j}$. Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i N_{i,j} &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \sum_{p \in P_j} (-1)^i B_{i,p} \\ &= \sum_{p \in P_j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i B_{i,p}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the interval $[\hat{0}, p]$ is an Eulerian poset for all $p \in P$. Thus for all $p \in P$, Lemma 49 gives us

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i B_{i,p} = (-1)^{j+1} + 1.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i N_{i,j} &= \sum_{p \in P_j} [(-1)^{j+1} + 1] \\ &= [(-1)^{j+1} + 1] f_j. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (ii) is similar. For each $p \in P$, we define $A_{p,j}$ to be the number of elements of rank j above p . That is,

$$A_{p,j} = |P_j \cap \{q \in P \mid q \geq p\}|.$$

Notice that $\sum_{p \in P_i} A_{p,j} = N_{i,j}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j-i-1} N_{i,j} &= \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} \sum_{p \in P_i} (-1)^{j-i-1} A_{p,j} \\ &= \sum_{p \in P_i} \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j-i-1} A_{p,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the interval $[p, \hat{1}]$ is Eulerian, Lemma 49 gives us

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j-i-1} A_{p,j} = (-1)^{d-i} + 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j-i-1} N_{i,j} &= \sum_{p \in P_i} [(-1)^{d-i} + 1] \\ &= [(-1)^{d-i} + 1] f_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

Notice that of the equations in (i) of Lemma 50,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} N_{i,d-1} = (-1)^d + 1$$

is a linear combination of the others. We will show that the remaining $(2d - 3)$ equations are linearly independent by solving these equations in the Proof of Theorem 52.

In the case where $d = 3$, we can calculate the $N_{i,j}$ from f_0, f_1 , and f_2 . This gives us the following:

Lemma 51. *For an Eulerian poset P with rank 3 and f -vector*

$$(f_{-1}, f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = (2 + f_0 + f_1 + f_2) - (f_0 + 4f_1 + f_2)z + 4f_1z^2 - (f_0 + f_2)z^3 + z^4.$$

Proof. By Lemma 50 we have three equations in three variables:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{0,1} &= 2f_1 \\ N_{0,2} - N_{1,2} &= 0 \\ N_{0,1} - N_{0,2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving, we obtain $N_{0,1} = 2f_1, N_{0,2} = 2f_1, N_{1,2} = 2f_1$. We know

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq 4} \sum_{j-i=l} N_{i,j} \cdot (-z)^l.$$

We will calculate $\sum_{j-i=l} N_{i,j}$ for l from 0 to 4.

For $l = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j-i=0} N_{i,j} &= N_{-1,-1} + N_{0,0} + N_{1,1} + N_{2,2} + N_{3,3} \\ &= f_{-1} + f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_{-3} \\ &= 2 + f_0 + f_1 + f_2. \end{aligned}$$

For $l = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j-i=1} N_{i,j} &= N_{-1,0} + N_{0,1} + N_{1,2} + N_{2,3} \\ &= f_0 + 2f_1 + 2f_1 + f_2 \\ &= f_0 + 4f_1 + f_2. \end{aligned}$$

For $l = 2$, we have

$$\sum_{j-i=2} N_{i,j} = N_{-1,1} + N_{0,2} + N_{1,3} = f_1 + 2f_1 + f_1 = 4f_1.$$

For $l = 3$, we have

$$\sum_{j-i=3} N_{i,j} = N_{-1,2} + N_{0,3} = f_0 + f_2.$$

For $l = 4$, we have

$$\sum_{j-i=4} N_{i,j} = N_{-1,3} = 1.$$

It follows that:

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = (2 + f_0 + f_1 + f_2) - (f_0 + 4f_1 + f_2) * z + 4f_1 z^2 - (f_0 + f_2) z^3 + z^4.$$

□

For Eulerian posets of rank greater than 3, we cannot calculate the Möbius polynomial in terms of the f_r values. To see that this is the case, consider the following example:

By Steinitz' Lemma [5], we know that we can construct a convex polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with f -vector $(1, 18, 38, 22, 1)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the convex polytope given by $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}y(\mathcal{K})$.

Let

$$Q = \mathcal{G}l(\mathcal{G}l(\mathcal{G}l(C^4, \mathcal{P}y(C^3), C^3), \mathcal{P}y(C^3), C^3), \mathcal{P}y(C^3), C^3).$$

By construction, both $\mathcal{P}$ and C^i have the same f -vector:

$$(1, 19, 56, 60, 23, 1).$$

Using Theorem 51 and Theorem 25, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_P(z) &= (2 - z)(80 - 192z + 152z^2 - 40z^3 + z^4) \\ &= 160 - 464z + 496z^2 - 232z^3 + 42z^4 - z^5. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas in Theorem 37, Theorem 25, and Theorem 32, we find that $\mathcal{M}_Q(z)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2z + 3)^4 - z(2 - z)^4 + 1 + 3(2 - z)((-2z + 3)^3 - z(2 - z)^3 + 1) \\ & - 3((-2z + 3)^3 - z(2 - z)^3 + 1) - 3(1 - z) \\ & - 3(1 - z)(1 - 6z + 12z^2 - 8z^3 + z^4) \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$160 - 478z + 524z^2 - 246z^3 + 42z^4 - z^5.$$

Hence, we have found two face posets with the same f -vector but different Möbius polynomials. This demonstrates that the Möbius polynomial cannot be calculated from the f -vector for an arbitrary Eulerian poset of rank greater than 3.

We will now choose $N_{i,j}$ values to be given along with the f_r values to make the system in Lemma 50 solvable. The other $N_{i,j}$ values can be solved in terms of these given values.

Assuming the equations in Lemma 50 are linearly independent, we should be able to calculate the $\mathcal{M}_P(z)$ from the f -vector and some collection of all but $2d - 3$ of the $N_{i,j}$. The following theorem shows that this is indeed possible:

Theorem 52. *Let P be a rank- d Eulerian poset. Given the f -vector $(f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_d)$ and the values $N_{i,j}$ for $0 < i + 1 < j < d - 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_P(z) = & \sum_{i=-1}^d f_i - z(f_0 + 2f_1 + 2f_{d-2} + f_{d-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-3} ((-1)^i + 1)f_{i+1}) \\ & + \left(\sum_{0 \leq k < i \leq d-3} (-1)^{i+1-k} N_{k,i+1} \right) + \sum_{l=2}^{d-2} (-z)^l (f_{l-1} + f_{d-l} \\ & + \sum_{j=l}^{d-2} (N_{j-l,j} + ((-1)^{l-1} + 1)f_{d-l-1} + ((-1)^{d-1} + (-1)^l)f_{d-l} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{d-l-2} [(-1)^{d-k} N_{k,d-l}] + \sum_{j=d-l+1}^{d-2} ((-1)^{d-j} N_{d-l-1,j})) \\ & + (-z)^{d-1} (((-1)^d + 1)f_0 + f_1 + 2(-1)^{d-1} + 1)f_1 + f_{d-2} \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{d-2} [N_{0,j}(-1)^{d+j}]) + (-z)^d [f_{d-1} + f_0] + (-z)^{d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We begin by solving for the missing $N_{i,j}$ values.

Part (i) of Lemma 50 gives us

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i N_{i,j} = ((-1)^{j+1} + 1)f_j$$

for $1 \leq j \leq d-1$. It follows that $N_{0,1} = 2f_1$, and that for $1 \leq i \leq d-2$

$$N_{i,i+1} = ((-1)^i + 1)f_{i+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+1-k} N_{k,i+1}.$$

Part (ii) of Lemma 50 gives us

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j-i-1} N_{i,j} = ((-1)^{d-i} + 1)f_i$$

for $0 \leq j \leq d-2$. This gives us

$$N_{i,d-1} = ((-1)^{d-i} + 1)f_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-2} (-1)^{d-j} N_{i,j}.$$

Using our values for $N_{i,i+1}$, we obtain

$$N_{0,d-1} = ((-1)^d + 1)f_0 + (-1)^{d-1} 2f_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{d-2} (-1)^{d-j} N_{0,j}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i,d-1} &= ((-1)^{d-i} + 1)f_i + ((-1)^{d-1} + (-1)^{d-i-1})f_{i+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{d-k} N_{k,i+1} + \sum_{j=i+2}^{d-2} (-1)^{d-j} N_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < i < d-2$. We calculate $N_{d-2,d-1}$ using (ii)

$$N_{d-2,d-1} = (-1)^{(d-1)-(d-2)}(-1)((-1)^{d-(d-2)} + 1)f_{d-2} = 2f_{d-2}.$$

We will now use these values to calculate $\mathcal{M}_P(z)$. We know

$$\mathcal{M}_P(z) = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq d+1} \sum_{j-i=l} N_{i,j} (-z)^l.$$

We will calculate $\sum_{j-i=l} N_{i,j}$ for l from 0 to $d+1$.

For $l = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j-i=0} N_{i,j} = \sum_{i=-1}^d N_{i,i} \sum_{i=-1}^d f_i.$$

For $l = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j-i=1} N_{i,j} &= N_{-1,0} + N_{0,1} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-3} N_{i,i+1} + N_{d-2,d-1} + N_{d-1,d} \\
&= f_0 + 2f_1 + 2f_{d-2} + f_{d-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-3} (((-1)^i + 1)f_{i+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+1-k} N_{k,i+1}) \\
&= f_0 + 2f_1 + 2f_{d-2} + f_{d-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-3} ((-1)^i + 1)f_{i+1} \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{0 \leq k < i \leq d-3} (-1)^{i+1-k} N_{k,i+1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq l \leq d-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j-i=l} N_{i,j} &= N_{-1,l-1} + \sum_{j=l}^{d-2} N_{j-l,j} + N_{d-l-1,d-1} + N_{d-l,d} \\
&= f_{l-1} + f_{d-l} + \sum_{j=l}^{d-2} (N_{j-l,j} + ((-1)^{l-1} + 1)f_{d-l-1} + ((-1)^{d-1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^l)f_{d-l} + \sum_{k=0}^{d-l-2} [(-1)^{d-k} N_{k,d-l}]) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=d-l+1}^{d-2} ((-1)^{d-j} N_{d-l-1,j}).
\end{aligned}$$

For $l = d-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j-i=d-1} N_{i,j} &= N_{-1,d-2} + N_{0,d-1} + N_{1,d} \\
&= f_1 + f_{d-2} + ((-1)^d + 1)f_0 + ((-1)^{d-1})2f_1 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{d-2} [N_{0,j}(-1)^{d+j}] \\
&= ((-1)^d + 1)f_0 + f_1 + (2(-1)^{d-1} + 1)f_1 + f_{d-2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{d-2} [N_{0,j}(-1)^{d+j}].
\end{aligned}$$

For $l = d$, we have

$$\sum_{j-i=d} N_{i,j} = N_{-1,d-1} + N_{0,d} = f_{d-1} + f_0.$$

For $l = d + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j-i=d+1} N_{i,j} = N_{-1,d} = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_P(z) &= \sum_{i=-1}^d f_i - z(f_0 + 2f_1 + 2f_{d-2} + f_{d-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-3} ((-1)^i + 1)f_{i+1}) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{0 \leq k < i \leq d-3} (-1)^{i+1-k} N_{k,i+1} \right) + \sum_{l=2}^{d-2} (-z)^l (f_{l-1} + f_{d-l} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=l}^{d-2} (N_{j-l,j} + ((-1)^{l-1} + 1)f_{d-l-1} + ((-1)^{d-1} + (-1)^l)f_{d-l} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{d-l-2} [(-1)^{d-k} N_{k,d-l}] + \sum_{j=d-l+1}^{d-2} ((-1)^{d-j} N_{d-l-1,j})) \\ &\quad + (-z)^{d-1} (((-1)^d + 1)f_0 + f_1 + 2(-1)^{d-1} + 1)f_1 + f_{d-2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{d-2} [N_{0,j}(-1)^{d+j}]) + (-z)^d [f_{d-1} + f_0] + (-z)^{d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Durst. Möbius Polynomials and Splitting Algebras of Direct Products of Posets. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2014.
- [2] Israel Gelfand, Vladimir Retakh, Shirlei Serconek, and Robert Wilson. On a class of algebras associated to directed graphs. *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, 11:281–295, 2005. 10.1007/s00029-005-0005-x.
- [3] Vladimir Retakh, Shirlei Serconek, and Robert Lee Wilson. Hilbert series of algebras associated to directed graphs. *Journal of Algebra*, 312(1):142 – 151, 2007.
- [4] Vladimir Retakh and Robert Lee Wilson. Algebras associated to acyclic directed graphs. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 42(1):42 – 59, 2009.
- [5] G. M. Ziegler. Convex Polytopes: Extremal Constructions and f-Vector Shapes. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, November 2004.
- [6] Gunter M. Ziegler. *Lectures on Polytopes*. Springer, New York, 2013.